

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	R ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/901,323	07/09/2001	Eyal Krupka	884.432US1	7812	
75	90 01/12/2005	EXAM	EXAMINER		
Schwegman, Lundberg, Woessner & Kluth, P.A. P.O. Box 2938 Minneapolis, MN 55402			KIM, K	KIM, KEVIN	
			(
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2634		
			DATE MAILED: 01/12/2005	DATE MAILED: 01/12/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	. /	
	. M	
1	DA.	
١	J	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/901,323	KRUPKA, EYAL					
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit					
	Kevin Y Kim	2634					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she twith the correspondence address Peri d for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 July 2001.							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E							
Disposition of Claims	·						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,6,7,13,17,20-23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2-5,8-12,14-16,18,19,24 is/are objecte 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. ed to.	·					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 6,7, 13, 17, 20,21, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ishizu et al (US 5,475,710).

Claims 1, 13 and 17.

Ishizu et al discloses a communication apparatus (see Fig. 1), comprising:

- a) means for obtaining channel taps associated with a communication channel, see col.
- 43, lines 9-10 describing the initialization of tap coefficients of a filter for a communication channel;
- b) means for determining a channel taps covariance matrix for said communication channel using said channel taps, see col. 43, line 9-10 describing the initialization of a tap coefficient error covariance matrix, which apparently requires the knowledge of the tap coefficients, and;
- c) means for updating the channel taps using the channel taps covariance matrix, see col.

43, line 11-14, describing the updating of the tap coefficients using the tap coefficients

error covariance.

Claim 6.

Page 3

Ishizu et al discloses a communication apparatus (see Fig. 1), comprising:

a) an equalizer (1) including at least one input to receive channel taps for configuring the

equalizer, see the inputs to the multipliers,

b) a channel tracking unit (5) to update the channel taps based on the output of the

equalizer and a covariance matrix associated with the channel taps, see col. 43, line 11-

14, describing the updating of the tap coefficients using the tap coefficient error

covariance matrix.

Claim 7.

Ishizu et al teaches a covariance matrix estimator, see col. 43, line 9-10 describing

a means for initializing a tap coefficient error covariance matrix.

Claim 20.

Ishizu et al discloses a communication apparatus (see Fig. 1), comprising:

a) an equalizer (1) including at least one input to receive channel taps for configuring the

equalizer, see the inputs to the multipliers and note that all equalizers having "a transfer

function that depends upon a plurality of channel taps;"

b) a channel estimator (5) to determine initial channel taps for the communication

channel, see col. 43, lines 9-10 describing the initialization of tap coefficients of a filter

c) a channel tracking unit (5) to track the plurality of channel taps over time, including

Art Unit: 2634

i) a covariance matrix estimator to estimate a covariance matrix associated with the plurality of channel taps, see col. 43, line 9-10 describing the initialization of a tap

coefficient error covariance matrix, which must use the tap coefficients of the channel

ii) an update unit to update the channel taps based on the covariance, see col. 43,

Page 4

line 11-14, describing the updating of the tap coefficients using the tap coefficients error

covariance.

Claim 21.

Ishizu et al discloses the channel estimator (5) that determines the initial channel taps

using training sequence received from the communication channel, having a priori

knowledge of the training sequence. See training sequence generator (22) coupled to the

channel estimator (5).

Claim 23.

Ishizu et al discloses the covariance matrix estimator to estimate an initial covariance

matrix based on an output of the channel estimator, see col. 43, line 9-10 describing the

initialization of a tap coefficient error covariance matrix, which must use the tap coefficients of

the channel.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 2634

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishizu et al as applied to claim 20 above in view of Gu et al (US 6,421,380).

Ishizu et al discloses all the subject matter claimed, as explained above, but is silent on a technique to use for the initialization of the tap coefficients, whereas the claimed invention specifically requires the use of "a least squares" technique. However, a least mean square (LMS) algorithm is a most commonly used algorithm for tap coefficients in the art as evidenced by Gu et al, see col. 2, lines 46-51, and thus would have been obvious used for the tap coefficients initialization of Ishizu et al.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 2-5,8-12,14-16,18,19 and 24 are objected as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Y Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-3039. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM --5PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on 571-272-3056. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2634

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Law , him

Page 6

KEVIN KIM PATENT EKAMMER